



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,364	10/14/2003	Douglas Edward Woehler	C283.101.102	4382

25281 7590 02/21/2008

DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA  
FIFTH STREET TOWERS  
100 SOUTH FIFTH STREET, SUITE 2250  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER
----------

PIPALA, EDWARD J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3663

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/21/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/685,364	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOEHLER, DOUGLAS EDWARD	
	<b>Examiner</b> EDWARD PIPALA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EDWARD PIPALA.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Gregg Kromrey.

(4) Steven E. Dickie.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-15,30-46 and 60-63.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mallet et al. (6,920,390), Runnels (4,527,158) and Giannopoulos et al. (2003/0125868).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the "document" and declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 and that the internal document by Mr. Douglas E Woehler titled "LED Powered Airfield Surface Location System" may not eventually be considered "prior art" under 102(b) due to its limited internal distribution, and my prove to be sufficient evidence to swear behind at least one of the applied prior art references in the pending rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edward Pipala/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3663

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required